

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,127	09/25/2006	Akio Sugihara	Q97391	8975
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 05/15/200 55.550	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE. NW		RAHMANI, NILOOFAR	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/594,127	SUGIHARA ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NILOOFAR RAHMANI	1625				

	NILOOFAR RAHMANI	1625							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.							
I. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box I is cheeded, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of						
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>									
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below									
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying t	he issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).						
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>									
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the						
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		be entered and an e	xplanation of						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.								
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10.12 and 17.									
Claim(s) rejected: 10,12 and 11.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
11. \( \begin{align*} \begin{align*} The request for reconsideration has been considered but The amendment of the claims is New Matter, which can under ODP over Umelima et al., US 2008/0133171 is ma 102(e) over Slatter et al., US 2004/0139253 and Fraser-al., US 2005/0239990 is maintained for researd or record	not find support in the specification aintain for reason of record. The rej et al., US 2004/0198822 and Saito	. The rejection of clair ection of claims 10,12	ms 10, 12, 17 2 and 17 under						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:									

/D. Margaret Seaman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625